MS. ANTONCIC: And that's what happened, though.

THE COURT: No, but mom was at the visit.

MS. ANTONCIC: No, she left. She left.

THE COURT: I didn't want her there. I did not want her there. I wanted to see what would happen. If Mr. Russell claims that he can take care of his baby by himself and in the first hour, he couldn't, then that would've given you a really good indication as to what he could and couldn't do.

But once you get this mismatch, I don't know why this baby was out of control, whether it was just because the baby just couldn't be with him or that there was tension and there was too much going on, and dad was upset, and mom's upset, supervisor is changing the order.

I don't know what happened now, why this baby was crying.

MS. ANTONCIC: Well, I mean, mom left, so he was there on his own.

THE COURT: But she was there. I didn't want -- that's not what I wanted.

MS. ANTONCIC: She was not there. She left and then she came back when the baby became inconsolable.

THE COURT: Counsel, I wanted Mr. Russell to die on his own sword. If he could die on his own sword --

MS. ANTONCIC: Understood.

THE COURT: -- then we would know.

MS. ANTONCIC: Understood.

THE COURT: I just wanted it done that way because I wanted to see what would happen, if he could really take care of this baby. That's what I wanted to see. And Ms. Farquharson needed to be there.

In the first half hour, if it was obvious that he couldn't, then mom could pick up the baby and Mr. Advocate wouldn't be standing here before me with this order to show cause because it was obvious -- would've been obvious that Mr. Russell couldn't handle this baby.

MS. ANTONCIC: But, Your Honor, that did happen on the 24th visit.

THE COURT: Stop, counsel. Please. I wanted it from day one.

MS. ANTONCIC: Okay. Well, that was on day two.

THE COURT: I wanted it at day one.

MR. ADVOCATE: And --

THE COURT: I wanted it at day one. I wanted to see what dad was capable of without all of this. So the mere fact that it didn't happen on day one, I don't know now whether or not dad's really capable or he's not capable. I don't know.

MR. ADVOCATE: And we --

THE COURT: The other issue that I do have, and

MS. ANTONCIC: Your Honor, if we have Dr. Griffin appointed, then perhaps we could start that right away.

THE COURT: All right. Okay. Counsel?

MS. ANTONCIC: Well, I mean, the only other issue is if -- is whether the continued supervision of my client by Ms. Farquharson is necessary, depending on, again, my client is absolutely willing to comply. It's just the fee issue.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Advocate, here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to review the report.

My scanning of the report, Ms. Farquharson, I was anticipating, would say that mom is an appropriate person to be with the child. If there is an issue with payment, then I'm not going to have Ms. Farquharson supervise mom at this point. Just leave it alone. She's being supervised by her parents.

Your client is coming back into town?

MR. ADVOCATE: Yes. He doesn't have a ticket right now.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. ADVOCATE: He had one for yesterday. Now, he's having to move it.

THE COURT: And you're going to set up additional supervision with Ms. Farquharson?

MR. ADVOCATE: Yes, if that's what you want. If

that's what you prefer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Parties understand?

MS. ANTONCIC: I'm sorry, can you repeat that? My client --

THE COURT: Ms. Farquharson's supervision is just with dad only --

MS. ANTONCIC: Okay.

THE COURT: -- and if he wants to have the nanny there, then have the nanny there. I don't anticipate that he's going to try to accomplish this on his own.

MR. ADVOCATE: Right.

THE COURT: But Ms. Farquharson needs to observe.

MR. ADVOCATE: And -- what was I going to say?

THE COURT: Yes?

MS. ANTONCIC: Just one last issue, Your Honor, as to our fee application that was put in --

THE COURT: You have that before me?

MS. ANTONCIC: Yes, as part of our initial order to show cause. We asked for $15,000.00 fee award. It was part of our initial papers. My client has incurred well over $45,000.00 here and I think DRL 237 is quite clear on that point.

THE COURT: Mr. Advocate?

MR. ADVOCATE: Yeah, my client, as a result of what's occurred here, has spent over -- he's incurred over